1 Achievements on technologies assessed and refined

1.	Title of On Farm Trial	Assessment of Integrated Nutrient Management in Sugarcane
2.	Problem diagnosed	Low yield due to improper Nutrient management
3.	Details of technologies selected for assessment/refinement	FP- Imbalance N: P205:K20/ha (80-40-40) kg/ha
	(Mention either Assessed or Refined)	TO1- 100% recommended dose of fertilizer (250-100-60 kg NPK / ha)
		TO2- Soil test based fertilizer application (75%Inorganic+25% Organic)
4.	Source of Technology (ICAR/ AICRP/SAU/other, please specify)	TO1- Source :AICRP on Sugarcane 2011
	real (accession)	TO2- Source :AICRP on Sugarcane 2015
5.	Production system and thematic area	Irrigated medium land
		Integrated Nutrient Management
6.	Performance of the Technology with performance indicators	Cane dia(cm), Cane ht(cm), Single cane wt (kg),Net return,B:C ratio
7.	Final recommendation for micro level situation	Soil test based recommendation has given significantly higher yield then
		100% RDF
8.	Constraints identified and feedback for research	Organic fertilizer management practices
9.	Process of farmers participation and their reaction	Farmers appreciated the soil test based fertilizer recommendation practices

Thematic area:

Problem definition: Low yield due to improper nutrient management

Technology assessed:

FP- Imbalance N: P205:K20/ha (80-40-40) kg/ha

TO1- 100% recommended dose of fertilizer (250-100-60 kg NPK / ha)

TO2- Soil test based fertilizer application (75% Inorganic+25% Organic)

Table:

Technology	No. of	1	Yield compone	ield component		Cost of	Gross	Net return	BC
option	trials	Cane dia(cm),	Cane ht(cm	Single cane wt (kg)	(qtl/ha)	cultivation (Rs./ha)	return (Rs/ha)	(Rs./ha)	ratio
FP	7	1.65	187	0.54	815	91280	228200	136920	2.5
TO1	7	2.0	211	0.75	1026	99062	287280	188217	2.9
TO2	7	2.3	214	0.84	1087	98180	304360	206179	3.1

Results: Soil test based recommendation has given highest yield

1.	Title of On Farm Trial	Assessment on Arka microbial consortium (AMC) and seed pro in cauliflower for yield enhancement
2.	Problem diagnosed	Low yield in cauliflower and small curd size and weight due to improper nutrient management
3.	Details of technologies selected for assessment/refinement	Assessed
	(Mention either Assessed or Refined)	
4.	Source of Technology (ICAR/ AICRP/SAU/other, please specify)	ICAR-IIHR Bangalore
5.	Production system and thematic area	Irrigated Upland and Hort.
6.	Performance of the Technology with performance indicators	Plant ht,No. of leaves/plant, Diameter of cured, wt. of cured, yield, net income, B:C ratio
7.	Final recommendation for micro level situation	AMC is good for enhancement of yield of cauliflower and easy to use
8.	Constraints identified and feedback for research	
9.	Process of farmers participation and their reaction	Farmers are happy with easy use of AMC

Thematic area: Horticulture

Problem definition: Low yield in cauliflower and small curd size and weight due to improper nutrient management

Technology assessed: **TO**1-Arka Microbial Consortium-A carrier based microbial product containing N fixing, P and Zn solubilising and plant growth promoting microbes. For the main field application of one acre of land, five kg of amc can be mixed with 500kg of FYM and applied near the root zone of standing crop

TO2-Seed Pro- plant growth-promoting seed coating formulation based on combinations of Bacillus subtilis and Hypocrea lixi. For the main field application of one acre of land, Five kg of seed pro can be mixed with 500kg of FYM and applied near the root of stand crop

Table:

Technology option	No. trials	of	Yield component		% increa se over FP	Cost cultivation (Rs./ha)	of	Gross return (Rs/ha)	Net return (Rs./ha)	BC ratio
			Weight of curd / plant (gm)	Yield (q /ha)	_					
FP	7		366.8	175.50	-	73000		175500	102500	2.4
1	7		573.4	215.42	23.0	80200		215420	135220	2.65
2	7		521.2	203.42	15.9	77800	·	203420	125620	2.64

Results: Application of AMC increase the yield of cauliflower with yield of 215q/ha

1.	Title of On Farm Trial	Assessment of damping off in onion
2.	Problem diagnosed	Reduction in seedling population in nursey due to damping off disease
3.	Details of technologies selected for assessment/refinement	Assessed
	(Mention either Assessed or Refined)	
4.	Source of Technology (ICAR/ AICRP/SAU/other, please specify)	OUAT, AICRP on vegetables
5.	Production system and thematic area	Onion nursey
6.	Performance of the Technology with performance indicators	Percent disease incidence, Yield, Net income, B:C ratio
7.	Final recommendation for micro level situation	Soil application of Trichoderma viride @ 2.5 kg/10kg FYM. Seed treatment with metalaxyl + mancozeb@ 2 g/kg seed. Soil drenching with metalaxyl+ mancozeb@ 2g/lit water
8.	Constraints identified and feedback for research	
9.	Process of farmers participation and their reaction	Field day

Thematic area:

Problem definition: Reduction in seedling population in nursey due to damping off disease

Technology assessed: **FP:** Spraying mancozeb@2g/l

TO1: Soil application with Trichoderma viride @ 2.5 kg/10kg FYM, Seed treatment with carbedazim+ Thiram @ 2g/kg seed. Soil drenching with COC@3 g/lit water

TO2: Soil application of Trichoderma viride @ 2.5 kg/10kg FYM. Seed treatment with metalaxyl + mancozeb@ 2 g/kg seed. Soil drenching with metalaxyl+ mancozeb@ 2g/lit water

Table:

Technology	No. of	Yield component			Disease/	Yield	Cost of	Gross	Net return	BC
option	trials				insect pest		cultivation	return		ratio
					incidence	(q/ha)		(Rs/ha)	(Rs./ha)	
					(%)		(Rs./ha)			
TP	7	-	-	-	20.50	195.5	1,04000/-	1,95,500/-	91,500/-	1.87
					20.50	0	1,0 1000/	1,75,500/	71,500/	1.07
1	7	-	-	-	10.00	220.8	1,05000/-	2,20,800/-	1,15,800/	2.10
					10.00	0	1,03000/-	2,20,000/-	1,13,000/	2.10
2	7	-	-	-	7.5	235.5	1,05500/-	2,35,500/-	1,30,000/-	2.23
					7.5	0	1,03300/-	2,33,300/-	1,50,000/-	2.23

1.	Title of On Farm Trial	Assessment on improved fodder grasses
2.	Problem diagnosed	Low biomass production
3.	Details of technologies selected for assessment/refinement	Assessed
	(Mention either Assessed or Refined)	
4.	Source of Technology (ICAR/ AICRP/SAU/other, please specify)	IGFRI, Jhansi
5.	Production system and thematic area	Rainfed upland and agroforestry
6.	Performance of the Technology with performance indicators	No of Cuts, Herbage yield, B:C ratio
7.	Final recommendation for micro level situation	Hybrid Napier (CO-3) perform well
8.	Constraints identified and feedback for research	Non availability of the planting materials
9.	Process of farmers participation and their reaction	Farmers are satisfied with the yield of hybrid napier

Thematic area: Forestry

Problem definition: Low biomass production

Technology assessed: TO₁-Napier hybrid (Co-3) at 1 x 1 m

TO2- Guinea (Bundel Guinea –2) planted at 1 x 1 m

Table:

Technology		of	Y	ield component		Yield	Cost of	Gross return	Net return	BC
option	trials		Plant Height (m)	No of tillers/	No of cuts in weeks interval	(q/ha)	cultivation (Rs./ha)	(Rs/ha)	(Rs./ha)	ratio
FP	7		15.4		1	400	40000	60000	20000	1.5
1	7		2.18	25	4	1350	96500	202500	106000	2.1
2	7		1.46	80	3	750	63500	112500	49000	1.8

Results: Hybid napier (CO-3) has given good yield with 1350 qtl/ha

1.	Title of On Farm Trial	Assessment on growth performance of thornless bamboo
2.	Problem diagnosed	Conventional bamboo species Bambusa bambus (hollow bamboo) management is difficult in large scales cultivation as & hence an alternate land use system.
3.	Details of technologies selected for assessment/refinement	Assessed
	(Mention either Assessed or Refined)	
4.	Source of Technology (ICAR/	PAU, Ludhiana and FCRI, TNAU, Mettupalayam
	AICRP/SAU/other, please specify)	
5.	Production system and thematic area	Rainfed upland and agroforestry
6.	Performance of the Technology with performance indicators	Height, Diameter, Number of Culms, Internodal Length and B:C ratio
7.	Final recommendation for micro level situation	Continuing
8.	Constraints identified and feedback for research	
9.	Process of farmers participation and their reaction	

Thematic area: Forestry

Problem definition: Conventional bamboo species Bambusa bambus (hollow bamboo) management is difficult in large scales cultivation as & hence an alternate land use system.

Technology assessed: TO1- Bambusa balcooa

TO2- Bambusa vulgaris

Table:

Technology	No. of		Yield com	ponent		Yield	Cost of	Gross	Net return	BC
option	trials	Height (m)	Dia (cm)	No. of	Internodal		cultivation	return		ratio
				culms	Length	(q/ha)		(Rs/ha)	(Rs./ha)	
					(cm)		(Rs./ha)			
FP	7	3.46	2.01	5	18.66	Bamboo				
1	7	5.90	3.50	6.46	30.47	crop in 1st				
2	7	4.94	2.70	6.44	24.83	year stage and yield data will be recorded on 3rd year				

Results: Bamboo crop in 1st year stage and yield data will be recorded on 3rd year

Please provide all the OFTs in same format